

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/988,387	Applicant(s) VAN DER VEEN, PAUL	
	Examiner Phillip A. Johnston	Art Unit 2881	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip A. Johnston.

(2) Robert Perez.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection to Gelernt(398) and Klienschmidt (832)

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner recommended that deleting the limitation in claim 13 regarding "detecting vibrations in an object on which said projection beam is incident", by examiners amendment would help advance the case to allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JOHN R. LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)